

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	TORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO 520.39937CX1 6441	
10/676,016	10/02/2003	Mitsuo Usami	520.39937CX1		
20457	7590 · 09/27/2005		EXAMINER		
ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET			ZARNEKE, DAVID A		
SUITE 1800		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ARLINGTON, VA 22209-3873			2891		

DATE MAILED: 09/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		W .		
	App	lication No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summan		676,016	USAMI, MITSUO	
Office Action Summary	Exa	miner	Art Unit	
		d A. Zarneke	2891	
The MAILING DATE of this comm Period for Reply	nunication appears (	on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address -	-
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE  - Extensions of time may be available under the provis after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this cool of the provise	E MAILING DATE C ions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In ommunication.  In statutory period will apply eply will, by statute, cause in this after the mailing date of	OF THIS COMMUNICAT In no event, however, may a reply If and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS the application to become ABAND	TION. be timely filed  from the mailing date of this communications (ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)	
Status				
<ul> <li>1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s)</li> <li>2a)  This action is FINAL.</li> <li>3)  Since this application is in condition</li> </ul>	2b)⊠ This action on for allowance ex	— n is non-final. cept for formal matters,	•	s is
closed in accordance with the pra	octice under <i>Ex pan</i>	te Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-12 and 14-20 is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) is 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-12 and 14-20 is/are ref 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to 8) Claim(s) are subject to reserved.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/a Applicant may not request that any on Replacement drawing sheet(s) includes	s/are withdrawn fro jected triction and/or elect the Examiner. re: a) accepted bjection to the drawin	m consideration.  tion requirement.  or b) objected to by the desired in abeyance.  required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	• •
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected	d to by the Examine	er. Note the attached Of	fice Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a cla a) All b) Some * c) None of 1. Certified copies of the prior 2. Certified copies of the prior 3. Copies of the certified copie application from the Interna * See the attached detailed Office ac	ity documents have ity documents have es of the priority do itional Bureau (PC)	e been received. e been received in Appli cuments have been rec  T Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1448 Paper No(s)/Mail Date			nary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 2891

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-12 and 14-20 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 18 of U.S. Patent No. 6,660,557. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the present claims are broader than the patented claims.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David A. Zarneke whose telephone number is (571)-272-1937. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:30 AM-6 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/676,016

Art Unit: 2891

Page 3

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Baumeister can be reached on (571)-272-1722. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner September 23, 2005